

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,108	04/26/2001	Arthur Tauber	CECOM 5469	1631
75	590 05/29/2003			
U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-LG-L (George B. Tereschuk, Esq.) Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703			EXAMINER	
			BOS, STE	EVEN J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	In Ex
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

irs-

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/845,108

Applicant(s)

Tauber et al

Examiner

Steven Bos

1754



	The M	AILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address	
	or Reply			_		
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
- If the p	eriod for repl	communication. y specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	statutory minimum (of thirty (30)) days will be considered timely.	
- If NO p	eriod for reph	y is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS fr	om the mailing date of this communication.	
- Any rep	ply received t	y the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the	nis communication, ev	en if timely	filed, may reduce arry	
Status	patent term	adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1) 💢		ive to communication(s) filed on May 5, 20			<u> </u>	
2a) 🗌	This acti	on is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆		is application is in condition for allowance en accordance with the practice under <i>Ex pai</i>				
Disposit	tion of Cl	aims				
4) 💢	Claim(s)	1-79			is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the	above, claim(s) <u>5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, .</u>	2 <u>6, 29, 32, 35</u>	, 38, 41	- 49 1, 44 is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)				is/are allowed.	
		1-4, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 33, 39, 42, and 50				
7) 💢	Claim(s)	7, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 25, 27,	28, <u>31, 34, 3</u> 6	, 37, 40	<i>), and 4</i> 3 is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims _		are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Pape	ors				
9) 🗆	The spec	cification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drav	wing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 accepte	d or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.	
		nt may not request that any objection to the d				
11)□	The proj	posed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
		ved, corrected drawings are required in reply t				
12)	The oath	n or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)□	Acknow	ledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) [All b)	☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. □ Ce	rtified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d.		
	2. 🗆 Ce	rtified copies of the priority documents have	e been receive	d in App	lication No	
;	3. □ Co	pies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures			eceived in this National Stage	
*S	ee the at	ached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	es not re	eceived.	
14)	Acknow	ledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.(C. § 119(e).	
a) [anslation of the foreign language provisiona				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm			57		14	
		nnces Cited (PTO-892)			0-413) Paper No(s). 14	
		person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		rmal Patent	t Application (PTO-152)	
3) ∐ Inf	ormation Disc	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Li Other:			

Inte	rview	Summary	,
ınte	rview	Summarv	/

Application No. 09/845,108

Applicant(s)

Tauber et al

Examiner

Steven Bos

Art Unit **1754**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):
(1) Steven Bos	(3)
(2) George B. Tereschuk	
Date of Interview Mar 10, 2003	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	t 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: None	
Identification of prior art discussed: Fesenko	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	ed. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the generary other comments:	ral nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
•	slation of same.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	endments which the examiner agreed would render the claims o copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seg	parate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH F	RMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE cord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1754
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required

Application/Control Number: 09/845108

Art Unit: 1754

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 5, 2003 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6,12,50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the journal article by Fesenko, et al.

Fesenko teaches the instantly claimed compounds which would have dielectric characteristics since the stoichiometry of the taught compounds is the same as that instantly claimed and thus would function as a dielectric substrate.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430

Claims 1-3,6,18,24,33,39,42,50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the journal article by Wittmann, et al.

Wittmann teaches the instantly claimed compounds which would have dielectric characteristics since the stoichiometry of the taught compounds is the same as that instantly claimed and thus would function as a dielectric substrate.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Claims 1,2,30,50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the journal article by Blasse.

Blasse teaches the instantly claimed compounds which would have dielectric characteristics since the stoichiometry of the taught compounds is the same as that instantly claimed and thus would function as a dielectric substrate.

Where the claimed and prior art product(s) are identical or substantially identical, the burden of proof is on applicant to establish that the prior art product(s) do not necessarily or inherently possess the characteristics of the instantly claimed product(s), see In re Best, 195 USPQ 430.

Claims 7,9,10,13,15,16,19,21,22,25,27,28,31,34,36,37,40,43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., cubic or pseudo-cubic tetragonal crystalline structure) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Fesenko teaches a cubic perovskite crystalline structure for Sr₂LuSbO₆. See pp. 3,4 of the translation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is (703) 308-2537. The examiner is on the increased flexitime program schedule and can normally be reached between 8AM and 6PM Monday through Friday. The FAX No. for After Final amendments is 703-872-9311; for all others it is 703-872-9310. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Steven Bos
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754